

Index

- abnormality. *see* normality; reasonableness
test
- absence of tests 6–7, 259
- accident insurance policies 143–4
- accidental shootings
 - dangerous chattels and substances 237–8
 - intervening conduct of children 203–4
 - liability of firearms owners for 15–16
- accounting professions, intervening negligence by 186–7
- acts of god 133
 - flooding 136–7
 - lightning 135–6
- addiction, drug and alcohol 229–31, 230n20
- Alberta Court of Appeal 233
- Alberta Supreme Court 41, 79
- alcohol addiction 229
- alternative danger doctrine 14, 15–16, 66, 209–17
- American Law Reports* 167
- animals, interventions by 141–2
- apportionment legislation 247–8
- arson cases 79–80
 - foresight test in Canada 41
- attractive nuisance doctrine 207
 - see also* children, intervening conduct of
- attributive causation 5
- Australia
 - contributory negligence 250
 - contributory negligence of the plaintiff 246
 - dangerous chattels and substances 238
 - deliberate interventions 83–8
 - drug and alcohol addiction 229–31, 230n20
 - escape from danger 212
 - escape from inconvenience 215
 - extraordinary natural phenomena 139
 - foresight test 43–6
 - fraud by plaintiffs 232
 - High Court of 43–4, 46, 62–4, 84–5, 87–8, 107–8, 110–11, 122, 124, 137, 139, 173, 185–7, 215, 250, 259
 - inadequate warnings 239, 240–1
 - infection of injuries 145
 - intervening conduct of children 204
 - intervening negligent legal advice 185–6
 - intervening negligent omissions 130
 - lower courts 45–6, 64
 - medical negligence 171–4, 174n23
 - negligence causing susceptibility to later harm 220, 221–2, 223–5
 - New South Wales Court of Appeal 45, 64, 85–7, 108–9
 - ordinary intervening negligent acts 122, 124
 - prisoner suicides 159n21
 - probability test 97–8
 - reasonable foreseeability 32
 - reasonableness test 62–4
 - refusal to accept medical treatment 182
 - rescue cases 191, 192
 - scope of risk approach 107–12
 - state courts 44–5
 - suicide cases 160–1
 - Supreme Court of New South Wales 191
 - Supreme Court of Queensland 109, 238
 - Supreme Court of South 45, 64, 220, 223–4, 239, 250
 - Supreme Court of Victoria 84n102, 109, 159n21, 160, 204
 - Victorian Court of Appeal 109
 - wrongful birth claims 184–5
- British Columbia Court of Appeal 80, 130, 164, 177
- British Columbia Supreme Court 78
- but for test 3, 5, 44
- Canada
 - Alberta Supreme Court 41, 79

- British Columbia Court of Appeal 80
- contributory negligence 250
- deliberate intervention 77–81
- fatal accidents legislation 164–5
- foresight test 39–42
- intervening conduct of children 206
- intervening gross negligence 127–8
- intervening negligence as *novus actus interveniens* 125
- medical negligence 174–7
- medical rescue 197
- negligence causing susceptibility to later harm 220, 223
- negligent inspection 232–3
- nineteenth century case 23
- Nova Scotia Court of Appeal 124
- novus actus interveniens* and remoteness of damage 253, 257
- Ontario Court of Appeal 39, 40, 124, 165, 175
- ordinary intervening negligent acts 122
- prisoner suicide cases 164
- rape and arson cases 79–80
- reasonableness test 65–6
- refusal to accept medical treatment 182
- rescue cases 190
- rescue of property 196
- scope of risk approach 112–13
- suicide cases 164–6
- Supreme Court of 39, 40, 65–6, 77, 78, 96–7, 112, 122, 127–8, 185, 196, 208
- car accidents. *see* motor vehicle accidents
- causation, factual and legal 5–6, 260–1
- Causation in the Law* (Hart & Honore) 88, 101
- chain of causation
 - breaking by intervening gross negligence 127–8
 - first English case to refer to 24–5
 - impact of deliberate interventions 69–71
 - impact of intentional criminal conduct 74–5
 - intervening negligence as breaking 125
- chattels and substances, dangerous 237–9
- children, intervening conduct of 88–9, 199–200, 238
 - and contributory negligence 17, 248
 - and deliberate intervention 73
 - development of case law 200–7
- Civil Liabilities (Contribution) Act 1978* (England) 247
- coincidences 139–41
- concurrent wrongdoers 247–8
- conduct necessary to exercise legal rights or privileges 227–8
- contributory negligence
 - and action of children 17
 - defence of 10
 - of the plaintiff 245–7, 248–51
- Court of Common Pleas 103, 189
- criminal intervention 45, 48–9, 70, 74–5, 81–3
- dangerous chattels and substances 237–9
- Davies, M. 49
- defamation and publication 235–7
- deliberate interventions
 - Australia 83–8
 - Canada 77–81
 - French Cour de cassation 89
 - impact on chain of causation 69–71
 - intentional criminal conduct 74–5
 - Ireland 89
 - New Zealand 88–9
 - Papua New Guinea 89
 - rescue cases 73
 - United Kingdom 71–7
- dependent intervening force 9
- direct consequence test (England) 13
- disease contracted due to lowered vitality 142–4
- drug addiction 229–31, 230n20
- duty-based approach. *see* scope of risk approach
- England
 - cases during nineteenth century 14–25, 14–27
 - cases prior to nineteenth century 13–14
 - first case to refer to ‘chain of causation’ 24–5
 - first decision based on probability 22
 - medical negligence 177–81
 - see also* United Kingdom
- English Court of Appeal 55, 73, 103–4, 105, 122–3, 124–5, 126–7, 139, 142, 147–9, 156, 158, 158n16, 177–8, 180–1, 192, 198, 202–3, 216, 236, 247–8, 250–1, 255
 - foresight test 38
 - probability test 92–4
- escape from danger and inconvenience 209–10

- development of case law (danger) 210–13
- development of case law
 - (inconvenience) 213–16
- explosive substances 238–9
- extraordinary natural phenomena 133–9

- factual causation 5, 260–1
- fatal accidents legislation 157–8, 164–6
- firearms cases 237–8
 - intervening conduct of children 203–4
 - owner's liability for accidental shootings 15–16
- Fleming, John 6, 7, 49, 234, 261
- flooding 136–8
- foresight test
 - Australia 43–6
 - Canada 39–42
 - endorsement of 33
 - Europe 48–9
 - judicial initiative to dilute 50
 - New Zealand 47–9
 - plaintiff as author of intervening event 33–4
 - and probability of event 32–9
 - rejection of 33–5
 - suitability of 35–8, 49–50
 - United Kingdom 32–9
 - United States of America (USA) 42–3
 - use of 31–2
- fraud by plaintiffs 232
- French Cour de cassation
 - deliberate interventions 89
 - foresight test 48–9

- Germany
 - medical rescue 197–8
 - Schutzzweck der Norm* 101
 - scope of risk approach 116–17
- Goodhart, A.L. 8, 191n22

- Hart, H.L.A. 88, 101
- High Court of Australia 6–7, 43–4, 46, 48, 84–5, 87–8, 110–11, 122, 124, 137, 173, 185–7, 215, 247, 250, 259
- History of English Law, A* (Holdsworth) 133, 141
- Holdsworth, W. 133, 141
- Holmes, O.W. 31
- Honore, T. 88, 101
- hospitals
 - institutional liability 234
 - negligence of in suicide cases 163, 164
- House of Lords 77, 94–5, 106–7, 125, 137, 138, 148–9, 149–50, 158, 178–9, 184, 213, 222, 233, 234, 235, 249

- inadequate warnings 239–41
- independent intervening force 9
- infection of injuries 144–6
- inflammable substances 238–9
- injure, intent of defendant to 16
- inspection, negligent/omission of 232–3
- institutional liability 233–4
- insurance policies 143–4
- intervening causation 3–4
 - absence of tests 259
 - challenging nature of cases 6–7
 - and contributory negligence defence 10
 - general principles from case law 263–4
 - need for judicial policy choices 260–2
 - negligence as 20–1
 - and reasonable foreseeability 10
 - varying nature of events and circumstances 6–7, 259
 - voluntary and deliberate 21–2
- intervening criminal conduct 45, 48–9, 70, 74–5, 81–3
- intervening events
 - plaintiff as author of 33–4
 - third party criminal 45
 - by third person 34–5
 - see also* deliberate interventions
- intervening force 8–9
- intervening gross negligence 125–8
- intervening negligent omissions 129–31
- intervening omissions 40, 45–6
- intervening ordinary negligence 121–5
- Ireland
 - conduct necessary to exercise legal rights or privileges 228
 - Court of Appeal 116
 - dangerous chattels and substances 237
 - escape from danger 212
 - intervening conduct of children 203, 204
 - intervening negligent omissions 131
 - nineteenth century case 19
 - ordinary intervening negligent acts 121, 122
 - probability test 98–9
 - reasonableness test 66
 - scope of risk approach 116
 - Supreme Court of 98–9

- joint liability 247–8
- judges
 - use of Latin phrases 7–8
 - use of metaphor and descriptive terms 7
- judicial policy choices, need for 260–2
- kidney donation cases 197–8
- knowledge of dangerous situations, plaintiff's 18
- last human wrongdoer rule 14–15
 - reduced use of 121
- last opportunity rule 246
- Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945* (England) 246–7
- legal causation 5–6, 260–1
- legal professions, intervening negligence by 185–7
- liability of firearms owners 15–16
- likelihood
 - first English decision based on 22
 - suitability of 35–8
- Linden, A. 181, 223, 249
- Lord Campbell's Act 1843* 19
- Manitoba Court of Appeal 39–40, 190
- maritime incidents 22, 92, 147–54
 - heavy weather at sea 138–9
 - intervening recklessness 128
 - reasonableness test 53–5
 - rescue of property 196
- McNaghten Rule of criminal responsibility 156, 156n7, 158, 162
- medical negligence 169
 - Australia 171–4, 174n23
 - Canada 174–7
 - England 177–81
 - United States of America (USA) 170–1
 - see also* refusal to accept medical treatment
- medical rescue 196–8
- mental institutions and institutional liability 234
- motor vehicle accidents
 - contributory negligence 250
 - and contributory negligence 250
 - intervening gross negligence 126–7
 - ordinary intervening negligence acts 121–4
 - suicide cases 157–8
- National Court of Justice of Papua New Guinea 48, 98
- natural phenomena, extraordinary 133–9
- negligence
 - conduct of third parties 47–8
 - intervening 20–1
 - of a municipal corporation 46
 - see also* medical negligence
- negligence, intervening ordinary 121–5
- negligence causing susceptibility to later harm 219–20
 - development of case law 220–4
- negligent inspection 232–3
- negligent rescue attempts 193–5
- New Brunswick Court of Appeal 234
- New South Wales, Supreme Court of 191
- New South Wales Court of Appeal 45, 64, 85–7, 183, 221, 229–31, 238, 241, 261–2
- New Zealand
 - Court of Appeal 7, 88, 113–14, 162, 163, 239
 - dangerous substances 239
 - deliberate interventions 88–9
 - foresight test 47–8, 47–9
 - hospital negligence 163
 - inadequate warnings 240
 - infection of injuries 145
 - intervening conduct of children 206
 - probability test 98
 - reasonable foreseeability 32
 - scope of risk approach 113–15
 - suicide cases 162–3
- Nineteenth century
 - cases during 14–27
 - cases prior to 13–14
- normality 59–61
 - see also* reasonableness test
- Nova Scotia Court of Appeal 124
- novus actus interveniens* 3–4
 - as breaking chain of causation 18–19
 - challenging nature of cases 6–7
 - concurrent wrongdoers context 247–8
 - and contributory negligence defence 10
 - definition 9–10
 - emergence of judicially-developed doctrine 15
 - first English case to refer to 24–5
 - intervening negligence as 125
 - as Latin term 8
 - link with remoteness of damage 253–8

- unreasonable intervening conduct 19–20
- varying nature of events and circumstances 6–7, 259
- omissions, intervening 40, 45–6
 - inspection 232–3
 - medical 177
- Ontario Court of Appeal 39, 40, 124, 165, 175
- Papua New Guinea
 - deliberate interventions 89
 - foresight test 48
 - probability test 98
- passing off actions 231–2
- personality changes 75–6
- plaintiffs
 - as author of intervening event 33–4
 - contributory negligence by 245–7, 248–51
 - disease contracted due to lowered vitality 142–4
 - fraud by 232
 - intervening negligence of 123–4
 - knowledge of dangerous situation 18
 - meritorious conduct of 79
 - trespass by 17–18
 - unreasonable intervening conduct by 19–20
- policy choices, judicial, need for 260–2
- prisoners
 - institutional liability 233–4
 - suicide cases 158–60, 164
- probability
 - of actions 23
 - Australia 97–8
 - first English decision based on 22
 - and the foresight test 32–9
 - Ireland 98–9
 - New Zealand 98
 - Papua New Guinea 98
 - Scotland 92
 - suitability of 35–8
 - United Kingdom 91–5
 - United States of America (USA) 95–6
 - use of 91
- property, rescue of 195–6
- proximate cause formula (USA) 13
- publication 235–7
- Queensland Supreme Court 109, 238
- rainfall, heavy 136–7
- rape cases 79
- reasonable foreseeability 24
 - Australia 43–6
 - Canada 39–42
 - endorsement of 33
 - Europe 48–9
 - judicial initiative to dilute 50
 - New Zealand 47–9
 - plaintiff as author of intervening event 33–4
 - rejection of 33–5
 - suitability of 35–8, 49–50
 - United Kingdom 32–9
 - United States of America (USA) 42–3
 - use of 31–2
- reasonableness test 51
 - Australia 62–4
 - Canada 65–6
 - concerns around 66
 - Ireland 66
 - maritime collisions 53–5
 - rescue cases 54
 - United Kingdom 52–9
 - United States of America (USA) 61
- recklessness. *see* intervening gross negligence
- refusal to accept medical treatment 181–3
 - contributory negligence 250
- remoteness of damage 18–19
 - link with *novus actus interveniens* 253–8
- rescue cases 189–90
 - deliberate intervention 73
 - development of case law 190–2
 - probability 92–4
 - reasonableness test 54
- Restatement of the Law of Torts, Second* (American Law Institute)
 - coincidences 140, 141
 - exercise of legal rights or privileges 227
 - foresight test 43
 - functional capacity of children 208
 - intervening gross negligence 126
 - intervening negligent omissions 129–30
 - interventions by animals 142
 - liability for acts performed during
 - insanity 167
 - negligence causing susceptibility to later harm 219
 - negligent rescue cases 193

- normality 59
- ordinary/extraordinary negligence
 - 170–1
- probability 95
- rescue cases 190–1
- rescue of property 196
- scope of risk approach 115–16
- voluntary and deliberate human action
 - 70, 76–7
- road accidents and contributory negligence
 - 250
 - see also* motor vehicle accidents
- sane-insane dimension of suicide cases
 - 155–68
- schools and institutional liability 234
- Schutzzweck der Norm* 101
- scientific causation 5
- scope of risk approach
 - Australia 107–12
 - Canada 112–13
 - concerns over 117
 - emerging use of 101–2
 - Ireland 116
 - New Zealand 113–15
 - United Kingdom 102–7
- Scotland
 - probability test 92
 - suicide cases 156
- self-rescue 55–6, 198, 216
 - and contributory negligence 251
- several liability 247–8
- shootings, accidental
 - dangerous chattels and substances 237–8
 - intervening conduct of children 203–4
 - liability of firearms owners for 15–16
- significant influence notion 264–5
- slander cases 14–15
- spousal desertion after disfiguring/
 - debilitating accident 229
- Squib case 13–14, 32, 52, 70, 71, 91, 210
- substances, dangerous 238–9
- suicide cases
 - Australia 160–1
 - Canada 164–6
 - and contributory negligence 249
 - England 155–60
 - foresight test in Canada 41–2
 - institutional liability 234
 - motor vehicle accidents 157–8
 - New Zealand 162–3
 - prisoners 158–60
 - sane-insane dimension 155–68
 - United Kingdom 155–60
 - United States of America (USA) 166–7
- superseding cause doctrine 3
 - definition 9, 10
 - nineteenth century USA cases 25–7
- Supreme Court of Canada 39, 40, 65–6, 77, 78, 96–7, 112, 122, 127–8, 185, 196, 205, 208
- Supreme Court of Ireland 98–9
- Supreme Court of New South Wales 191
- Supreme Court of Pennsylvania 122, 207
- Supreme Court of Queensland 109
- Supreme Court of South Australia 45, 64, 223–4, 239, 250
- Supreme Court of Tasmania 145–6
- Supreme Court of the USA 82–3, 115–16, 135, 137–8, 204–5
- Supreme Court of Victoria 84n102, 109, 159n21, 160, 204
- susceptibility to later harm, negligence
 - causing 219–20
 - development of case law 220–4
- Tasmania 145–6
- tests, absence of 6–7, 259
- third party intervention 45, 47–9
 - criminal 70
 - deliberate 69–71
- United Kingdom
 - conduct necessary to exercise legal rights or privileges 227–8
 - contributory negligence of the plaintiff 246
 - dangerous chattels and substances 237–9, 238–9
 - defamation/publication 235–7
 - deliberate human action 71–7
 - disease contracted due to lowered vitality 143–4
 - escape from danger 210–11, 212–13
 - escape from inconvenience 213–15, 216
 - extraordinary natural phenomena 135–6, 137
 - foresight test 32–9
 - infection of injuries 144, 145
 - intervening conduct of children 200–3, 205–6
 - intervening gross negligence 126–7

- intervening negligence as *novus actus interveniens* 125
- intervening negligent omissions 129–31, 131
- interventions by animals 141–2
- last opportunity rule 246
- maritime incidents 147–52
- medical negligence 177–81
- negligence causing susceptibility to later harm 220–1, 222–3
- negligent inspection 232–3
- negligent rescue attempts 193–4
- novus actus interveniens* and remoteness of damage 254–7
- ordinary intervening negligent acts 122–3
- probability test 91–5
- reasonableness test 52–9
- refusal to accept medical treatment 181, 182–3
- rescue cases 191–2
- rescue of property 196
- scope of risk approach 102–7
- suicide cases 155–60
- wrongful birth claims 184
- United States of America (USA)
 - contributory negligence 249–50
 - escape from danger 211
 - extraordinary natural phenomena 135
 - foresight test 42–3
 - intermediate appellate courts 59–61
 - intervening conduct of children 204–5, 206–7
 - intervening criminal conduct 81–3
 - intervening gross negligence 128
 - intervening negligence case 21
 - maritime incidents 152–3
 - medical negligence 170–1
 - normality approach 59–61
 - ordinary intervening negligent acts 121, 124
 - probability test 95–6
 - proximate cause formula in nineteenth century 13
 - reasonableness test 61
 - rescue cases 190–1
 - rescue of property 195
 - scope of risk approach 115–16
 - Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals 124
 - suicide cases 166–7
 - superseding cause doctrine 25–7
 - Supreme Court 82–3, 115–16, 135, 137–8, 204–5
 - Supreme Court of Pennsylvania 122
 - wrongful birth claims 183n73
- unreasonable intervening conduct 19–20
 - see also* reasonableness test
- vandalism, intervening acts of 41
- Victorian Court of Appeal 109
- voluntariness. *see* deliberate intervention
- Wagon Mound* cases 10, 33, 36, 253
- warnings, inadequate 239–41
- Williams, G. 140
- workers' compensation cases 144–6, 155–7, 171–2, 177–9, 181, 249
- wrongful birth 183–5